

RESEARCH ARCHIVE

<https://nsri.world/>

Infrastructure-Based Interventions for Sleep Deprivation in Educational Institutions: Combating Cognitive Loss in Adolescents

Aidan Fernandes^a, Ananya Noronha^b

^a Department of Electronics and Computing, BITS Pilani K. K. Birla Goa Campus, Goa, India
^b Department of Environment and Sustainability, BITS Pilani K. K. Birla Goa Campus, Goa, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received
Received in revised form
Accepted

Available online

Keywords:
Sleep deprivation; Circadian rhythm;
Educational infrastructure; Dynamic
classrooms; Student wellbeing; Napping;
Cognitive performance; Chronotype

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates sleep deprivation as an educational design problem. The focus is not only whether students should sleep more, but whether schools and universities can redesign learning and recovery spaces so that unavoidable sleep debt causes less damage during the academic day. Through a review of existing research on sleep deprivation, chronotypes, classroom lighting, environmental conditions, and structured napping, the evidence suggests that infrastructure-based interventions could help in reducing sleep deprivation related cognitive loss: early schedules disadvantage later chronotypes, dynamic lighting can improve concentration and reading performance, CO₂ and temperature affect attention and memory, and structured napping may be a feasible measure for sleep recovery. A model that takes all these factors into consideration would let schools and universities respond to sleep loss in a practical way rather than only telling students to sleep more. If implemented properly, this could make study spaces healthier and more aligned with how students actually function. Future research should evaluate the effectiveness of this combined model in real educational settings.

Introduction

Problem statement: Can infrastructure-based interventions, especially dynamic classrooms and structured nap spaces, reduce sleep-deprivation-related cognitive loss among adolescent and young-adult students in educational institutions?

1. Hypothesis

If schools and universities implement circadian-aware classrooms and structured nap spaces, then sleep-deprived students will show improved attention, memory retention, alertness, and emotional regulation during the academic day, because environmental lighting, recovery sleep, ventilation, temperature, and chronotype alignment directly influence cognitive functioning.

2. Background

Sleep deprivation among students (mainly adolescents and young adults) has become a persistent public health and educational issue. Existing research consistently shows that a large proportion of adolescents fail to obtain the recommended amount of sleep required for healthy cognitive functioning. Research on school start-time found that fewer than 8% of high school students reported getting about nine hours of sleep, while fewer than one-third reported eight or more hours on school nights. Insufficient sleep is associated with reduced attention span, impaired memory retention, slower reaction times, emotional dysregulation, increased stress, and worse academic performance.

This problem becomes particularly apparent and severe in educational institutions, as existing class structures which involve early classes, tight deadlines, commuting, and prolonged academic workload often conflict with adolescent biological sleep cycles.

Research on adolescent circadian rhythms has shown that teenagers are biologically predisposed toward later sleep and wake timings, meaning that early academic schedules can contribute to chronic sleep restriction and daytime cognitive impairment. Furthermore, nighttime screen exposure and poorly optimized indoor environments further contribute to chronic sleep disruption among students. Current institutional responses, however, primarily focus on individual behavior change among students (encouraging students to “sleep more” or manage time more effectively), rather than addressing the environmental and infrastructural conditions contributing to the problem.

Existing research also demonstrates that environmental design directly affects circadian rhythm regulation and daytime alertness. It has been proven that classroom lighting conditions influence circadian stimulus, melatonin regulation, and other biological functions among students; adolescents are also more vulnerable to this than adults, making these environments particularly important in supporting healthy circadian entrainment. With context to educational infrastructure like classrooms, variables such as window orientation, lighting spectrum, reflectance of interior surfaces, daylight exposure, temperature, and air quality measurably affect circadian stimulus levels and cognitive functioning in students.

Research on sleep recovery and napping similarly indicates that short-duration naps can partially mitigate the cognitive effects of sleep deprivation. Studies on sleep, learning, and memory in adolescents found that sleep plays a significant role in memory consolidation, emotional regulation, and cognitive processing. Additional research on cognitive flexibility after sleep deprivation reported declines in adaptive thinking and executive functioning under conditions of insufficient sleep. This suggests that recovery infrastructure can be treated as a practical academic support rather than only a comfort feature.

Some educational institutions have already begun experimenting with sleep-supportive infrastructure. Universities such as the University of Manchester introduced dedicated student sleeping pods and recovery spaces, demonstrating that institutional recovery infrastructure is practical and implementable rather than purely theoretical.

Taking all of this into consideration, research suggests a significant gap in current educational approaches. Institutions try to optimize classrooms, STS spaces, assessment systems, and timetables, yet largely neglect circadian and recovery infrastructure despite substantial evidence that cognitive recovery, alertness, and circadian regulation are biologically necessary for learning performance and overall well-being.

3. Key Findings

Key Finding 1: Sleep deprivation directly impairs cognitive performance.

Multiple studies show that partial sleep deprivation reduces attention, working memory, reaction speed, and mood stability. In the Need for Sleep Study, adolescents aged 15-19 restricted to five hours in bed for seven nights performed worse on sustained attention, working memory, executive function, sleepiness, and mood measures than those allowed nine hours in bed (Lo et al., 2016).

Research further suggests that these effects are intensified by chronotype timing mismatch. Goldin et al. (2020) studied 753 adolescents assigned to morning, afternoon, or evening schedules; morning students slept less than 6.75 hours on weekday nights, and 93.5% slept below the recommended minimum. In morning school, later chronotypes had lower maths grades, with slopes of $\beta = -0.315$ for younger students and $\beta = -0.338$ for older students. Rodriguez Ferrante et al. (2023) found that, among older students, a one-hour later chronotype predicted a 0.535-point maths-grade decrease in morning school, compared with 0.250 in afternoon and 0.238 in evening school.

This directly supports the argument that sleep deprivation is a measurable cognitive-performance problem affecting educational outcomes.

Key Finding 2: Environmental lighting and classroom design affect circadian rhythm regulation.

The study 'Daylighting design for healthy environments: Analysis of educational spaces for optimal circadian stimulus' found that circadian rhythm is strongly influenced by the spectral qualities, surface reflectance, and intensity of light within educational spaces.

The study specifically concluded that daylight exposure improves circadian entrainment, poorly designed environments reduce effective circadian stimulus, adolescents are especially vulnerable to circadian disruption, classroom design variables such as window orientation, reflectance, and lighting spectrum significantly influence circadian health.

The paper further identified that a circadian stimulus (CS) value of 0.3 during the morning promotes healthy circadian entrainment. It also found that bright room surfaces produced 20-60% more circadian stimulus than dark surfaces, and that white work planes could provide good circadian stimulus for up to 54% more time during the year than light blue desks (Acosta et al., 2019).

Additionally, classroom conditions beyond daylight also affect cognition. Slegers et al. (2013) found that a 1000 lux / 6500 K Focus lighting setting significantly improved concentration performance in one classroom study, $F(2,70) = 19.25$, $p < 0.001$. Mott et al. (2012) found that 1000 lux / 6500 K focus lighting

produced a 36% oral-reading-fluency gain, compared with 17% under normal lighting. Ahmed et al. (2017) further found that 1000 and 1800 ppm CO₂ reduced cognitive accuracy compared with 600 ppm, while attention improved around 20° C / 600 ppm and memory improved around 23° C / 600 ppm.

These findings are important because they shift sleep deprivation from being treated solely as an individual behavioral issue toward being treated as an environmental and infrastructural issue.

Key Finding 3: Short naps measurably improve alertness, memory, and recovery.

Research on napping consistently shows improvements in alertness and cognitive performance after short-duration sleep opportunities; naps assist memory consolidation and executive functioning, particularly after sleep restriction.

Additional institutional studies on structured recovery sleep support this. Hernandez et al. (2019) ran a university pilot in which students used MetroNaps Energy Pods for 20-minute structured naps three times weekly. The study recorded 276 structured naps and concluded that that structured napping showed promise as an institution-initiated wellness intervention.

This suggests that structured recovery spaces may function as a practical harm-reduction strategy within educational institutions, particularly for students already experiencing unavoidable sleep deprivation.

4. Proposed Idea

Our proposed solution is a Sleep-Aligned Infrastructure Model with two core layers: recovery spaces and dynamic classrooms. Recovery spaces deal with the problem after sleep debt has already occurred, while dynamic classrooms reduce the cognitive burden placed on already sleepy students during learning. This approach treats sleep deprivation as an educational design problem rather than only a personal discipline problem.

First, schools and universities should place structured nap zones in central academic locations such as libraries, student centres, and major teaching buildings. These zones should provide 20 to 30 minute recovery sessions within enclosed rest pods equipped with reclining seating, sound dampening, low-glare lighting, ventilation, charging ports, timed wake systems, hygienic surfaces, booking systems, and session limits. The point is not to replace proper night sleep, but to instead give students a safe, controlled way to recover when sleep debt has already happened.

Second, institutions should create dynamic circadian classrooms. These classrooms would have tunable LED lighting, daylight blinds, CO₂ sensors, adjustable ventilation, sound dampening, and temperature presets. A high-focus mode could use approximately 1000 lux / 6500 K lighting for maths, science, tests, and reading-heavy tasks, based on classroom lighting studies showing improved concentration and reading fluency under bright, cool "focus" lighting (Slegers et al., 2013; Mott et al., 2012). The same classroom could then shift into lower-intensity modes for less demanding or more collaborative work. For example, Slegers et al. (2013) describe a "Standard" setting of 300 lux / 3000-4000 K for regular classroom activities and a "Calm" setting of 300 lux / 2900 K intended to support independent and collaborative learning. Classrooms should also regulate air and heat: CO₂ should be kept close to 600 ppm, attention-heavy work should use cooler conditions near 20° C, and memory-heavy work should use conditions closer to 23° C, as Ahmed et al. (2017) found that lower CO₂ and task-specific temperatures improved cognitive performance. Noise reduction through acoustic ceiling panels, quieter HVAC systems, and sound-absorbing materials would further reduce avoidable cognitive load.

Third, timetables should support the room design itself. The earliest morning block should avoid high-stakes activities like maths tests or subjects involving heavy calculations where possible and instead focus on review, discussion, or lower-stakes recall. More analytically demanding subjects such as mathematics, physics, and engineering should ideally be scheduled later in the morning or afternoon, since Goldin et al. (2020) found that chronotype-related performance differences were strongest in morning mathematics and explicitly suggested teaching maths later during the morning. This is especially important because Rodriguez Ferrante et al. (2023) found that, among older adolescents, a one-hour later chronotype predicted a larger maths-grade decrease in morning school than in afternoon or evening school. Flexible scheduling and multiple lecture slots may therefore reduce structural disadvantages faced by biologically later chronotypes while improving cognitive efficiency across the student population.

can. Early schedules disadvantage later chronotypes, dynamic lighting can improve concentration and reading performance, CO₂ and temperature affect attention and memory, and structured napping is feasible as an institutional wellness tool. A combined model of central nap spaces, dynamic classrooms, and circadian-aware timetables would let schools and universities respond to sleep loss practically instead of only telling students to sleep more. If implemented carefully, this could make learning environments fairer, healthier, and more aligned with how students actually function.

5. Conclusion

Sleep deprivation among young people is not only a personal wellness issue; it is an educational design issue. This paper asked whether infrastructure-based interventions could reduce sleep-deprivation-related cognitive loss, and the evidence suggests that they

References

- Ahmed RJ, Mumovic D, Bagkeris E, Ucci M. The effect of indoor temperature and CO₂ levels on cognitive performance of adult females in a university building in Saudi Arabia. *Energy Procedia*. 2017;122:451-456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.07.378>
- Acosta I, Campano MA, Leslie R, Radetsky L. Daylighting design for healthy environments: Analysis of educational spaces for optimal circadian stimulus. *Solar Energy*. 2019;193:584-596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2019.10.004>
- Goldin AP, Sigman M, Braier G, Golombek DA, Leone MJ. Interplay of chronotype and school timing predicts school performance. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2020;4:387-396. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0820-2>
- Hernandez C, Daly K, Mehta A, Verduin M. A pilot study examining biofeedback and structured napping to promote medical student wellbeing. *MedEdPublish*. 2019;8:110. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10712478/>
- Lo JC, Ong JL, Leong RLF, Gooley JJ, Chee MWL. Cognitive performance, sleepiness, and mood in partially sleep deprived adolescents: The Need for Sleep Study. *Sleep*. 2016;39(3):687-698. <https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.5552>
- Mott MS, Robinson DH, Walden A, Burnette J, Rutherford AS. Illuminating the effects of dynamic lighting on student learning. *SAGE Open*. 2012;2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244012445585>
- Rodriguez Ferrante G, Goldin AP, Sigman M, Leone MJ. A better alignment between chronotype and school timing is associated with lower grade retention in adolescents. *npj Sci Learn*. 2023;8:21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-023-00171-0>
- Sleegers PJC, Moolenaar NM, Galetzka M, Pruyn A, Sarroukh BE, van der Zande B. Lighting affects students' concentration positively: Findings from three Dutch studies. *Lighting Res Technol*. 2013;45(2):159-175. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477153512446099>
- Wheaton AG, Chapman DP, Croft JB. School start times, sleep, behavioral, health, and academic outcomes: A review of the literature. *J Sch Health*. 2016;86(5):363-381. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4824552/>
- Kang K, Choi J, Hwang H, Koo DL, Kim JS, Oh B. A twenty-minute nap boosts the planning domain of executive function in sleep deprived late adolescents. *J Sleep Med*. 2017;14(1):18-22. <https://doi.org/10.13078/jsm.17003>